

2021 IEEE International Symposium on Antennas and Propagation and USNC-URSI Radio Science Meeting

4-10 December 2021, Marina Bay Sands, Singapore



Bridging the materials' permittivity traceability gap for 5G applications

Malgorzata Celuch¹), Michael J. Hill²), Tomasz Karpisz^{3,1}), Marzena Olszewska-Placha¹),

Say Phommakesone⁴⁾, Urmi Ray⁵⁾, Bartlomiej Salski^{3,1)}

1) QWED Sp. z o.o., Warsaw, Poland

2) Intel Corp., Chandler, USA



3) Warsaw University of Technology, Warsaw, Poland





4) Keysight Technologies, Santa Rosa, USA



Speaker's email: mceluch@qwed.eu

"5G/mmWave Materials Assessment and Characterization" https://www.inemi.org/5g-mat-assessment

5) The International Electronics Manufacturing Initiative, USA

Agenda

- Motivation & Industry Needs
- Brief Overview of the iNEMI 5G/mmWave Materials Assesment & Characterisation Project

• Why Resonator Techniques & Which Resonators Are Used

• Choice of Benchmarking Material Samples

- Material Measurement Results & Discussion
- Conclusions & Outlook







Motivation & Industry Needs: Scope

- 5G: Common to only think in terms of 'radio' applications
- '5G' extends beyond wireless applications

CPU Clock Speeds			High Speed I/O			
	àHz —— 4GH	z —— 5Gł	lz	-24-28GHz	37-40GHz-	-64-71GHz
600MHz (2x35MHz) 2.5GHz (LTE B41)	3.55-3.7 GHz 3.7-4.2	2GHz	5.9-7.1GHz	24.25-24.45GHz 24.75-25.25GHz 27.5-28.35GHz	37-37.6GHz 37.6-40GHz 47.2-48.2 <u>GH</u> z	64-71GHz
600MHz (2x35MHz)				27.5-28.35GHz	37-37.6GHz 37.6-40GHz	64-71GHz
700MHz (2x30 MHz)	3.4-3.8GHz		5.9-6.4GHz	24.5-27.5GHz		
700MHz (2x30 MHz)	3.4-3.8GHz			26GHz		
700MHz (2x30 MHz)	3.4-3.8GHz			26GHz		
700MHz (2x30 MHz)	3.46-3.8GHz			26GHz		
700MHz (2x30 MHz)	3.6-3.8GHz			26.5-27.5GHz		
	3.3-3.6GHz	4.8-5GHz		24.5-27.5GHz	37.5-42.5GHz	
	3.4-3.7GHz			26.5-29.5GHz		
	3.6-4.2GHz	4.4-4.9GHz		27.5-29.5GHz		
	3.4-3.7GHz			24.25-27.5GHz	39GHz	

Src: Urmi Ray, 5G/High Frequency Materials Characterization Challenges and Opportunities, EMA 2021, S13

- Many forward-looking wired applications need material data spanning DC to 100+GHz
- Dielectric constant measurements are key enables for many different industries & technologies
 IEEE AP-S, Singapore, 4-10 December 2021



Motivation & Industry Needs: Design

- Traditional methods of microwave design rely on trimming & tuning difficult to tolerate in today's environment...
- Faster & less costly "virtual prototyping" is achieved with today's modelling & simulation tools...
- ...but accurate material data is still required
- ...errors in materials' characterisation limit accuracy of modeling resulting in time consuming iterations



Motivation & Industry Needs: New Materials

• 5G/mmWave industry is in quest for new ultra-low-loss materials



• Developments of new materials require accurate evaluation at use condition

Errors can be very costly, e.g. estimated cost to switch: \sim \$2 per CPU substrate \rightarrow x 20M units = \$40M \$10's of millions for a single program, or worse, unexpected product failures

Motivation & Industry Needs: On-Site Use

Modern PCBs make use of many different dielectrics

Need to characterise:

- many materials
- across many domains of science & engineering

Example: Intel measures more than 200 materials per year.



Desirable techniques:

- fast & easy-to-use
- robust and reproducible

Gaps & Practical Challenges

No standards & SRMs for mmWave Permittivity measurements >20 GHz:

Challenges for ISO and quality control

Few vendors for mmWave Permittivity measurement equipment >10 GHz:

- Explain vendor to vendor differences
- Whom to trust?
- On whom to rely?

Useful 5G materials are typically very low loss:

• Eliminates many traditional transmission line techniques

Increasing frequency:

- Severe limitations on sample thicknesses
- Incompatible sample dimension requirements between techniques
- Higher sensitivity to operator







iNEMI 5G Round Robin Introduction & Overview

Sample Material Requirements

- Stable, Low loss
- Low moisture absorption / temperature dependency
- Isotropic
- Good mechanical & handling properties

Current Selection

- Precision Teflon
- Cyclo Olefin Polymer
- Future additions
- Rexolite
- Fused Silica

Techniques Included

- Split Post Dielectric Resonator
- Split Cavity Resonator
- Fabry-Perot
- Balanced Circular Disk Resonator
- \rightarrow Frequency Span : 10GHz 100GHz with overlaps
- 10 Sample Kits Created
- Sample sizes 35 mm x 45 mm, 90 mm x 90 mm
- circulated between 10 labs



10 Laboratory Round Robin

This presentation reports on: 3 resonator techques 2 sample kits 3 labs, each using 2+ techniques



IEEE AP-S, Singapore, 4-10 December 2021

Why Resonator methods

Resonance in theory: non-zero electromagnetic fields exist in isolated structures (no excitation). Field properties are well-defined and linked to material properties. E.g. for cylindrical cavities:



Single-versus Multi-Mode Characterisation

Resonators are multimode devices.

IEEE AP-S, Singapore, 4-10 December 2021

Hence formally, material measurement can be performed at many frequencies in the same resonator.

However, some modes provide highest accuracy of material characterization. Some are difficult to excite.

Software provided with the resonator in compatible only with modes pre-selected by the vendor.

Software provided with the resonator in compatible only with modes pre-selected by the vendor.

Among the popularly available resonators, BCDR and FPOR work as multi-modal.

In-Plane & Our-of-Plane Permittivity Measurements

TE010

TE modes to measure in-plane component of Dk, Df SCR, SPDR, FPOR

TM010

9.42 9.40 sapphire 9.38 ٠ 9.36 9.34 9.32 ٠ 9.30 9.28 9.26 300 11.60 11.55 sapphire 11.50 11.45 ٠ 11.40 11.35 11.30 300 T (K) J.Krupka et al., "Complex permittivity of some ultralow loss dielectric crystals..",

Meas. Sci. Technol. 10 (1999).

Full characterisation of anisotropic materials (like crystals) requires both measurements. **BCDR not included in this presentation.**

For the benchmarking round-robin, we selected isotropic materials.

Characterisation Results

3 techniques, each at 2+ labs

repeatability (= 3 x std / mean)
spread (between the 3 metrologies)

< 0.5% < 1% (< 3% incl. outliers) 4 Samples Cut from 1 COP Coupon & Characterised:

- Sample #1 90 mm x 90 mm in 10-110 GHz range
- Sample #2 90 mm x 90 mm in 10-50 GHz range
- Sample #3 35 mm x 45 mm in 10-110 GHz range
- Sample #4 35 mm x 45 mm in 10-50 GHz range

> 40GHz 2x increase in Df compared to 10GHz

Concluding remarks

In the on-going iNEMI project, the four resonator techniques (SCR, SPDR, BCDR, FPOR) are studied in terms of accuracy, repeatability, and reproducibility of the metrology.

Each resonator technique has specific features, which can make it preferable for a particular application (e.g., different sample Dk / Df, thickness, expected anisotropy; frequency & temperature range of use).

Due to the lack of standards & SRMs for 5G/mmWaves, it is crucial to benchmark the techniques against one another, to provide practical guidance to the industry:

- \rightarrow samples compatible with more than one metrology are needed,
- \rightarrow 35mm x 45mm & 90mm x 90mm together cover all the metrologies with overlaps.

This paper summarises 112 representative results out of over 1500 measurements performed by 10 labs with 3 techniques on 40 samples (here: from 186 μ m – thick Cyclo Olefin Polymer coupon by Zeon) :

- \rightarrow for each technique & lab, repeatability (= 3 x std / mean) < 0.5%,
- → Dk spread (between the 3 metrologies) < 1% (3% incl. non-standard outliers),
- \rightarrow at f > 40GHz, 2x increase in Df demonstrated compared to 10GHz.

Beyond the scope of this paper, the round-robin continues on other samples (Teflon, fused silica, automotive,...) :

- \rightarrow similar & consistent results (rule of thumb: 1% in Dk),
- → no obvious bias by lab or by technique,
- → differences dominated by sample to sample variation (most likely thickness variation within a coupon).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

https://www.inemi.org/5g-mat-assessment

The authors wish to thank all the partners of the iNEMI 5G project for their collaboration in defining the benchmarking samples and techniques used in this work.


```
www.nanobat.eu
```

QWED team further acknowledges the funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement NanoBat No 861962.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!