

Benchmarking of Current Industrial Best Practices and Emerging Techniques for the Consistent Electric and Dielectric Characterisation of Materials from Microwave to Millimetre-Wave Ranges

Malgorzata Celuch, Marzena Olszewska–Placha, Lukasz Nowicki, Janusz Rudnicki







Acknowledgements

1. The work reported herein has been co-funded by:



the *European Union's Horizon 2020* research and innovation programme under grant agreement *NanoBat No 861962*.



the *Polish National Centre for Research and Development* under contracts *M-ERA.NET2/2020/1/2021* and *M-ERA.NET3/2021/83/I4BAGS/2022*.



M-ERA.NET 3 has received funding from the *European Union's Horizon 2020* research and innovation programme under grant agreements *No 958174*.

We kindly acknowledge the collaborations with our partners in the above European projects.



We acknowledge the iNEMI "5G" partnerships for round-robin experiments and discussions.

Special thanks to all our industrial clients and partners for driving our developments and their kind permission to publish selected industrially-representative results.

2. Thanks to Lucas Enright (NIST, collaborator in iNEMI projects) for sharing the slides presenting our iNEMI work at ICEP 2023 (ref. [17]).

3. Thanks to Lukasz Nowicki, my co-author and Ph.D. student, for summarising the work (written paper & some slides) from a newcomer's perspective.





25 years of QWED





- 1. Why Measuring MATERIALS?
- 2. Why RESONANT Methods?
- 3. ROUND-ROBIN Results for Low-Loss-Substrates in iNEMI 5G Project:
 - Microwave Best Practices,
 - Emerging mmWave Techniques.
- 4. Modelling-Based Design of Resonator Test-Fixtures for Other Materials:
 - Metallic Foils and Resistive Films (inl. Battery Anodes),
 - Liquids (incl. Coolants).
- 4. Extensions to Surface Imaging.
- 5. Conclusions.







Why Measuring Materials?

Premise #1: Personal Disillusion



MICROWAVE WEEK

1. my "dream" materials are usually UNAVAILABLE

2. for available materials, characterisation data ARE usually UNAVAILABLE at my desired use conditions

3. available catalogue data is usually DIFFERENT from my test measurements

4. testing with equipment from a DIFFERENT vendor gives a DIFFERENT result



source: IEEE Microwave Magazine, Dec. 2022, article by J. Grosinger announcing IEEE RWW 2023 Women in Microwaves Event



Why Measuring Materials?

INEMI

Premise #2: Industrial Demand & Treaceability Gap for 5G/mmWave Materials



1ST SPACE MICROWAVE WEEK



"Traceability" is an unbroken chain of measurements that end at the SI

source: M.Hill (Intel) & M.Celuch (QWED), IPC-APEX 2021 https://www.gwed.eu/nanobat/IPC APEX 2021 5GMaterialsCharacterisation.pdf

Motivation & Industry Needs

• Development of new materials requires the ability to evaluate the performance of those materials at use

• Errors can be very costly

Cost to switch: ~\$2 per CPU substrate

x 20M units = \$40M



IPC

BUILD ELECTRONICS BETTER

Errors in characterization can cost many \$10's of millions for a single program, or worse, induce unexpected product failures



The semiconductor industry needs dielectric standards





Why Measuring Materials?

Premise #3: General perspective & European priorities

source: ami20230.eu

MATERIALS 2030 MANIFESTO

Systemic Approach of Advanced Materials for Prosperity – A 2030 Perspective

7 February 2022

"Materials, especially advanced materials, are the backbone and source of prosperity of an industrial society"

source: <u>emmc.eu</u>

"Materials are at the core of industrial processes, products and their functions and properties."

> Model development Data and knowledge representations Software tools Industrial transformation Policy frame





1ST SPACE MICROWAVE WEEK



Why Resonance Methods?

Premise #1: Well-Controlled Sensitivity to Material properties

Resonance in theory: non-zero electromagnetic fields exist in isolated structures (no excitation). Field properties are well-defined and linked to material properties.

E.g. for cylindrical cavities:



cesa

1ST SPACE

MICROWAVE WEEK



Why Resonance Methods?

Premise #2: Sensitivity to Ultra-Small Losses (increasingly relevant to 5G & above)



Typical 5G materials as measured in iNEMI round-robin [2][16]: tan δ ~ 10⁻⁴

Specifically challenging measurements of ultra-low losses (at cryogenic tempreratures): Q ~ 10⁸ (J. Krupka et al. IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech., vol 47, pp. 752-759, June 1999.)





iNEMI 5G Project Overview

[1] "5G/mmWave Materials Assessment and Characterization" Project

https://www.inemi.org/article_content.asp?adminkey=5cc4f4100ebf2ba1f3e6fd6294749139&article=161



1ST SPACE MICROWAVE WEEK







Sample Material Requirements

- Stable, Low loss
- Low moisture absorption / temperature dependency

3M

Dell

Flex

- Isotropic
- Good mechanical & handling properties

Techniques Included

- Split Post Dielectric Resonator
- Split Cavity Resonator
- Fabry-Perot
- Balanced Circular Disk Resonator
- → Frequency Span : 10GHz 100GHz with overlaps

10 Sample Kits Created

- Sample sizes 35 mm x 45 mm, 90 mm x 90 mm
- circulated between 10 labs

1st Project Stage

- Precision Teflon
- Cyclo Olefin Polymer

2nd Project Stage

Rexolite

Industrial

- Automotive
- **Fused Silica**







All 3 resonators are designed for in-plane permittivity measurements.



Example Operating Procedure of SPDR



measurement time < 1 minute – and professional VNA is not needed

0. Connect the SPDR to Q-Meter using SMA cables. Connect Q-Meter to PC using USB cable.



1. Measure "empty" SPDR - app invoked measurement.



Operating Procedure of SCR

1ST SPACE MICROWAVE WEEK

Connect the cables and measure. No need for other preparation or calibration. open the lever insert a sample 5 sec 10 sec measure "empty" Same measurement results Very efficient measurement cycle regardless who uses it. for high volume measurements. close the lever and measure

Operating Procedure of FPOR







2. Measure "empty FPOR" (resonant frequency and Q-factor at M..N modes)

1. Connect the FPOR to VNA and PC with control app.

5. Material parameters at consecutive frequencies (modes) are extracted automatically.





4. Automatic procedure finds M..N modes of sample-loaded FPOR.



3. Insert the sample into FPOR.

Example of iNEMI Round Robin Results

Repeatability and reproducibility studies have shown that error bars of Dk (excluding outliers) are comparable to uncertaintes in sample thickness and sample-to-sample differences.



1ST SPACE MICROWAVE WEEK



Consistency of COP and Teflon measurements

Example results of dielectric constant measurements by SPDR, SCR and FPOR methods for Cyclo Olefin Polymer (COP) and Teflon.



Example of iNEMI Round Robin Results





Example results of loss tangent measurements by SPDR, SCR and FPOR for COP and Teflon.



Development of Standard Reference Materials for 5G (to be offered by NIST)

High-purity fused silica with high uniformity of thickness material selected by iNEMI SRM consortium





"mmWave Permittivity Reference Material Development":

https://www.inemi.org/article_content.asp?adminkey=3674fa3699682cd862a12262cfa07fd5&article=238 5G/6G mmWave Materials and Electrical Test Technology Roadmap (5G/6G MAESTRO)", part of NIST Roadmap: https://www.inemi.org/maestro

An example thickness map of an earlier prototype









Compared to SPDR, in SiPDR the sample is placed in weak (but well defined) electric field. This is why measuring of higher electric losses becomes feasible.

Measurements of Metal Foils: SaDR

Sapphire Dielectric Resonator shown with an open and closed cavity.



Note: sapphire resonator is very-high-Q. Foils made of real conductors and placed on its top and bottom sides decrease the Q-factor, allowing for the measurement of metal conductivity or sheet resistance.

Special thanks to Circuit Foils:

Example measurements results of copper foils



[1] "Reliability & Loss Properties of Copper Foils for 5G Applications" Project https://www.inemi.org/article_content.asp?adminkey=b5202baac78313e4914809b2f481b372&article=209



Samples	Frequency [MHz]	Q-factor	Effective Conductivity [S/m]	Frequency << 10 GHz
HighRoughness				Erequency ≥ 10 GHz
TopSide	13902.226	5674.4	4.9920E+07	
LowRoughness				of Circuit Foil
TopSide	13892.998	5775.2	5.1889E+07	Higher signal loss
HighRoughness				
BottomSide	13897.898	4636.1	3.2333E+07	Solution: ultra low profile foil
LowRoughness				
BottomSide	13899.851	4654.4	3.2602E+07	



09.05.2023

Cavities for Measuring Ultra-Low-Loss Dielectrics

TE01 δ mode Dielectric Resonator shown with an open and closed cavity.

Note: the sample-under-test forms the actual resonator within the cavity











1ST SPACE

MICROWAVE WEEK

Low frequency dielectric resonator cavities





Dielectric resonator cavity at 2.5 GHz Modelled electric field distribution:



Higher frequency cavity resonators





24-GHz Cavity resonator (with fused silica tube, rubber tube and syringe) Fabry-Perot Open Resonator



Single solution for 15-50GHz

Note: FPOR with a liquid holder is still a prototype at this moment.

Example measurements of a popular coolant





09.05.2023

Extensions SPDR and SiDR Methods to Surface Imaging



1ST SPACE MICROWAVE WEEK



2D SPDR Scanner @10GHz: Finalist of the European Innovation Radar Prize 2021

Exploring Synergies between Materials Measurements & Computer Modelling





Example: 2D SPDR Imaging of Organic Semiconductors



1ST SPACE MICROWAVE WEEK



Patterned PEDOT:PSS sample courtesy MateriaNova, Belgium





raw image of sample resistivity (measured Q-Factor) image further deconvolved using SPDR field pattern pre-simulated in QuickWave





2D iSiPDR Scanner @10GHz: Operating Procedure



MICROWAVE WEEK

0. Connect the iSiPDR to Q-Meter using SMA cables Connect Q-Meter and STANDA Motor to PC using USB cable.

5

1. Measure "empty" iSiPDR



5. Material parameters are extracted automatically with each step





4. Insert the sample thickness into the PC app



Example: 2D iSiPDR Imaging of Graphene Anodes



1ST SPACE MICROWAVE WEEK







Materials are key enablers - but may also be key inhibitors! – for emerging technologies, including space.
 Therefore attention is required to their development and characterisation.
 This is acknowledged in European initiatives (EMMC, AMI2030, Manifesto).

- 2. Efforts on material measurements for 5G and beyond, including:
- benchmarking of industrial best practices and emerging techniques,
- development of SRMs,

are increasing globally and coordinated e.g. by The International Electronics Manufacturing Inititive and NIST (both US). Here, Europe seems to be lagging behind, and space sector seems underrepresented.

3. For low-loss materials, essential for space and satellite technologies, resonant methods are recommended and validated:
- instruments available from commercial vendors have been shown to deliver results in good consistency (1-2% in Dk), if applied following best practices and using samples of good thickness uniformity,
- some of the commercial instruments are more robust in use than other ones,

- some of the commercial instruments are more robust in use than o
- non-standard instruments tend to be outliers.

4. New microwave and millimetre-wave resonators can be designed for testing specific materials, including novel electronic or energy materials, by exploring synergies with computational modelling.

Thank you for your attention.

5. Our team is open to new collaborations in the field!



Bibliography



[1] https://www.inemi.org/article_content.asp?adminkey=5cc4f4100ebf2ba1f3e6fd6294749139&article=161

[2] M.Celuch et al., "Benchmarking of GHz resonator techniques for the characterisation of 5G / mmWave materials", Proc. 51st European Microwave Conf. London, April 2022.

[3] https://www.inemi.org/article_content.asp?adminkey=b5202baac78313e4914809b2f481b372&article=209

[4] https://www.nanobat.eu/

[5] https://qwed.eu/projects.html

- [6] The European Materials Modelling Council: https://emmc.eu/
- [7] The European Materials Characterisation Council: http://characterisation.eu/
- [8] Advanced Materials 2030 Initiative: https://www.ami2030.eu/
- [9] https://www.innoradar.eu/resultbykeyword/qwed
- [10] T.Karpisz et al., "Measurement of Dielectrics From 20 to 50 GHz With a Fabry–Pérot Open Resonator", IEEE Trans. MTT, No. 5, Vol. 67, 2019.

[11] J. Krupka, A. P. Gregory, O. C. Rochard, R. N. Clarke, B. Riddle, and J. Baker Jarvis, "Uncertainty of complex permittivity measurements by split post dielectric resonator technique", J. Eur. Ceramic Soc., vol. 21, pp. 2673 2676, 2001.

[12] M. Celuch, O. Douheret, P. Korpas, R. Michnowski, M. Olszewska-Placha, J. Rudnicki, "Portable low-cost measurement setup for 2D imaging of organic semiconductors", accepted for IEEE MTT-S International Microwave Symposium 2020, Los Angeles, 21-26 June 2020 – accepted version.

[13] https://qwed.eu/resonators_fpor.html

[14] https://qwed.eu/resonators_te01.html

[15] N1500A Materials Measurement Suite. Available: https://www.keysight.com/zz/en/product/N1500A/

[16] iNEMI 5G Project Report 2: Benchmark Emerging Industry Best Practices for Low Loss Measurements, Nov. 2020. Available: https://community.inemi.org/content.asp?admin=Y&contentid=676

[17] L.Enright, M. Olszewska-Placha, M.J. Hill, S. Phommakesone, D. Kato, C.A. Hill, H. Kähäri, C. Lee, C.S. Chen, N.D. Orloff, M. Celuch, U. Ray, "Preparing for 6G: Developing best practices and standards for industrial measurements of low-loss dielectrics", iNEMI presentation at ICEP 2023 - Intl.Conf. on Electronics Packaging, Kumamoto, Japan, April 2023.

